

Review of the article to Scientific Journals of the Maritime University in Szczecin

REVIEWER.....

(academic degree, name and surname)

Private address(or workplace address).....

(place, code, street, number)

Title of the article:

Type and nature of the work: theoretical, experimental, review.....

(appropriate to mark or describe)

Specific comments (appropriate to mark)

No.	Type of assessment	Evaluation		
		yes	no	difficult to assess
1.	Is paper an article suitable for publication in Scientific Journals?			
2.	Is substantive content important for science and practice?			
3.	Is the title of the article consistent with the content?			
4.	Is the article subject current?			
5.	Are object(s), hypothesis, thesis clear?			
6.	Has the author clearly outlined his achievements?			
7.	Are the results presented genuine?			
8.	Are the results of practical value?			
9.	Is (are) the method (s) used original?			
10.	Are the results or the method to be used by other researchers in this field?			
11.	Is the bibliography (accurate and sufficient) ?			
12.	Is the language correct, and the terminology consistent with the current standards (for example, SI units)?			
13.	Is the illustrating material(figures, tables) appropriate?			
14.	Does the abstract cover the content of the article well?			

Conclusions (appropriate to mark or highlight)

1. Is the article suitable for publication:
 - in its present form and content
 - after taking account of drafting
 - after taking account of substantive amendment
 - after complete redrafting
 - after supplementation of the article or expansion of research
2. The article is not suitable for publication
3. Other comments place below (the justification for the publication, etc.) or in the text of the article:

.....
(place, date)

.....
(signature of the reviewer)